DA STO

PATENT

APR 1 1 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pplicants:

Challenger et al.

Examiner: William D. Hutton

Serial No:

09/283,561

Group Art Unit: 2178

Filed:

April 1, 1999

Docket: Y0999-011 (8728-255)

For:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EFFICIENTLY CONSTRUCTING AND

CONSISTENTLY PUBLISHING WEB DOCUMENTS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Mail Stop - Petitions

PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 AND SUPPORTING STATEMENT

This is a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment of the above-identified application based on evidence that an Appeal Brief and supporting documents were indeed filed following a Notice of Appeal filed on May 2, 2005.

On or about February 6, 2006, our office received a Notice of Abandonment, which was mailed on February 2, 2006, indicating that the above-identified had gone abandoned based on Applicant's failure to timely file an Appeal Brief for the Notice of Appeal that was filed on May 2, 2005. A copy of the Notice of Abandonment is annexed hereto.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postpaid in an envelope, addressed to the: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA. 22313-1450, on the date indicated below.

Dated:

Frank V. DeRosa

However, an Appeal Brief and supporting papers were indeed mailed to the USPTO on

August 2, 2005. A true copy of the postcard, Petition for Extension of Time, Credit Card form

for Extension payment, Appeal Brief Transmittal Form, and Appeal Brief as mailed on August 2,

2005 is annexed hereto. Our Office did not receive a return postcard indicating receipt and filing

of the annexed documents. However, a certificate of mailing under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 on the

Transmittal of Appeal Brief indicates that the undersigned deposited the annexed documents with

the US postal service on August 2, 2005. The undersigned hereby attests that the annexed

documents were indeed deposited by the undersigned on August 2, 2005.

The notice of abandonment indicates that the examiner called the undersigned on 17

January 2006 to confirm whether an Appeal Brief was filed. However, the undersigned does not

recall receiving such telephone call and in any event, the call was purportedly made well after

December 2, 2005, which is the date that the above application had technically gone abandoned

(i.e., 7 months from May 2, 2005).

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Notice of Abandonment be

withdrawn, and that the Patent Office proceed with Applicants' Appeal in this action based on

the accompanying documents.

Respectfully submitted,

Frank V. DeRosa

Reg. No. 43,584

Attorney for Applicant(s)

F. Chau & Associates, LLC

130 Woodbury Road

Woodbury, New York 11797

TEL: (516) 692-8888

FAX: (516) 692-8889

-2-

th

APR 1 1 2006	ND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/283,501 TRADEMAND 04/01/1999	JAMES R. H. CHALLENGER	YO999-011(87	1201
46069 7590 02/02/2006		EXAMINER HUTTON JR, WILLIAM D	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			
	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2176	
		DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OIPE 40				
APR 1 1 2006 μ	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
\a Notice of Abandonment	09/283,561 CHALLENGER ET AL		ET AL.	
THADEMAN THADEMAN	Examiner	Art Unit		
O TRADES	Doug Hutton	2176		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress	
This application is abandoned in view of:				
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does 	Mailing or Transmission date month(s)) which ex	pired on	•	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	on consists only of: (1) a timed ad Notice of Appeal (with ap	nely filed amendment which pla	aces the	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	tute a proper reply, or a bor e explanation in box 7 below	na fide attempt at a proper rep /).	ly, to the non-	
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.		·		
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee ar from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory pages (PTOL-95). 	·85). as received on (with	a Certificate of Mailing or Tr	ransmission dated	
Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	an af C	•		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		irod by 27 OFD 4 40/d) :- @		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has r		ired by 37 CFR 1.10(d), is \$	 ·	
(e) El me issue les una publication les, il applicable, mas i	iot been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as rec Allowability (PTO-37). 	•			
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Mail	ing or Transmission dated), which is	
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. 	ne attorney or agent of reco	rd, the assignee of the entire i	interest, or all of	
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	n attorney or agent (acting	in a representative capacity u	nder 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferof the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla	erence rendered on a nims.	and because the period for see	sking court review	
7. X The reason(s) below:				
There is no record of an Appeal Brief being filed fo	or the Notice of Appeal th	at was filed on 5/2/05.		

Doug Hutton Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2176

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 2006013

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
OIPE 4 Interview Summary	09/283,561	CHALLENGER ET AL.				
merview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
APR 1 1 2006 8	Doug Hutton	2176				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Doug Hutton</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Frank V. DeRosa</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>17 January 2006</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)⊠ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>A Notice of Appeal was filed on 5/2/05</u> , but there is no record of an Appeal Brief being filed for this application in either PALM or eDAN. The examiner called to confirm that Applicant did not file an Appeal Brief for the Notice of Appeal filed on 5/2/05. Applicant did not return the examiner's phone call.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 interviews

Paragraph (b)
In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

PATENT OFFICE DATE STAMP WILL ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF:

- Credit Card Payment Form PTO-2038 for \$120.00
- Petition for Extension of Time (in triplicate) 2.
- Transmittal Form 3.
- 4. Appeal Brief
- Return Postcard 5.
- Certificate of Mailing 6.

Applicant:

James R.H. Challenger

Serial No.: Filed:

09/283,561

April 1, 1999

For:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EFFICIENTLY

CONSTRUCTING AND CONSISTENTLY PUBLISHING

WEB DOCUMENTS

Examiner:

William D. Hutton

Group:

Art Unit 2178

Docket:

YOR91999011 (8728-255)

Dated:

August 2, 2005

FVD:mel